



2 JFW

Application No.: 10/785,323
Attorney Docket No.: 09812.0408-00000

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
)	
Kouichi MATSUDA)	Group Art Unit: 2629
)	
Application No.: 10/785,323)	Examiner: Vijay Shankar
)	
Filed: February 24, 2004)	Confirmation No.: 9695
)	
For: DISPLAY DEVICE)	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

RESPONSE TO SPECIES ELECTION REQUIREMENT

In a species election requirement mailed March 29, 2007, the Examiner required Applicant to elect one of the following alleged species:

Species 1, as shown in Figure 1; and

Species 2, as shown in Figure 14.

Applicant provisionally elects to prosecute Species 1, Figure 1, with traverse.

Claims 1-8 read on Figure 1.


Applicant traverses the election of species requirement. The Office Action provides no analysis of Figures 1 and 14 and no analysis of the allegedly distinct species. Indeed, Figures 1 and 14 do not disclose mutually exclusive species.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: April 13, 2007

By: 
Michael R. Kelly
Reg. No. 33,921